

## THE COURTS.

## The Judgment of Solomon—Two Women Claiming One Child.

## BERIOUS CHARGE AGAINST A LAWYER.

## The Case of Robert G. Watson, Charged with Forgery.

Judge Blackford, of the United States District Court, will commence a short session to-day for the hearing of motions in bankruptcy. He will sit for three or four days.

The lawyers in the Supreme Court, Chambers, were reminded of their schoolboy days as they crowded into Chambers at nine o'clock yesterday morning. This is the sweeping change effected by Judge Donohue—ex parte business at nine o'clock A. M. and calendar called at ten o'clock A. M. There was some confusion yesterday morning by the late arrivals wanting to know what was done with their cases, and to accommodate these gentlemen the calendar was called again at noon, but only for this one day.

## CHILD STEALING.

## The Judgment of Solomon—Two Women Claiming One Child.

A remarkable case has just been decided in the Supreme Court, the facts of which are substantially these:—In 1870, a young lady named Harriet Evans had an illegitimate child, and, being unable to spare sufficient time to nurse it herself, she placed it with a Mrs. Fanny Wemple, a married woman, whose child had recently died. Miss Evans called very frequently to see the child, sent it clothing, and paid \$5 a month for some time, but finally was unable to pay more than \$15, for which Mrs. Wemple consented to nurse the child.

About this time the child was weaned; the mother's visits became more frequent, and nothing would satisfy her maternal yearnings but to take the child to her own home. As soon as she was broached this subject Mrs. Wemple, the mother of Mrs. Wemple, replied, "You needn't bother about the child; it isn't yours; that child was left here in a basket." Alarmed at this, Miss Evans went to Mrs. Wemple and asked what her mother meant. Mrs. Wemple replied that one day some one put the child in a basket, left it at the door and rang the bell, by way of leaving it there as a strange child, and that since it was a joke, they had taken the child to her own home. Miss Evans demanded the child, and, being told that she was not to, took legal steps to recover it, whereupon Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

Mrs. Wemple, on her side, admitted receiving a baby from Miss Evans and the payment of \$25 a month, but maintained, nevertheless, that the child in dispute does not belong to her. She called the witnesses for the defence and called in this way:—It was stated that Miss Evans' child was sickly, withered, and that she was taken to her home, where she remained until arrested. The matter then came before the Court, and Mrs. Wemple, who had the child, was ordered to find out which of the women had a claim to the child, and Miss Evans testified as above.

## Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

## By Judge Donohue.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

Kenny vs. Kellogg.—Decree of divorce granted to the defendant.

## upon his head and one of his eyes was closed. The jury rendered a verdict of not guilty.

## William Marcus was tried upon an indictment charging him with stealing a watch and \$50 in money from the residence of John H. Smith, a respectable house in Thompson street. The parties were colored men, and as their attorneys were colored men, the case was referred to the conclusion to render a verdict of not guilty.

## Youthful Burglars Sent to the House of Refuge.

John Henry and Michael Cronin, little boys, pleaded guilty to petit larceny, the charge against them being that, on the 8th of last month, they entered the residence of John H. Smith, at 605 West Forty-third street, and stole a quantity of cigars. They were sent to the House of Refuge.

## FIFTY-SEVENTH STREET POLICE COURT.

## Robbery of a Countryman on the Highway.

Before Judge Sherwood.

George O'Brien, No. 316 East Eighteenth street; Thomas Winters, No. 308 Ave. C, and Philip Connor, No. 341 East Twenty-third street, were charged with attacking and robbing Michael O'Connor, of Prescott, Arizona, Michael, who has been in the city but a short time, was walking through Twenty-third street, on Sunday morning about one o'clock, and when near Avenue A, he was attacked by a party who took from him a quantity of money. He defended himself with a dagger as well as he could, and inflicted some serious wounds on the assailant. Officer Hackett, the Plaintiff's friend, came to O'Connor's assistance and with the aid of other officers the persons named were taken into custody. They were charged with the robbery of a countryman on the highway, and were probably the persons who took with them the greater part of the money. The accused were held for trial.

## Mayhem Again.

Michael Hazard, a young man not yet twenty years of age, was held for trial on a charge of mayhem. On Sunday night he and a friend named Patrick Harp, residing in a shanty in Forty-third street, near Fourth avenue, got into a row, during which the accused robbed a man of his money. He was charged with the robbery of a countryman on the highway, and were probably the persons who took with them the greater part of the money. The accused were held for trial.

## Arrested on Suspicion.

On Sunday night at a late hour Officer Walsh, of the Twenty-first precinct, found Charles Langin in his bare feet in the hallway of No. 644 Third avenue. On being searched a number of lockpicks were found in his possession. The defendant named James McEvoy, was arrested on the sidewalk, and was supposed to be acting in concert with the defendant. The two were charged with the robbery of a countryman on the highway, and were probably the persons who took with them the greater part of the money. The accused were held for trial.

## COURT CALENDARS—THIS DAY.

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS—Held by Judge Donohue.—Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219,